

Records and Tuples

a.k.a. Immutable Data Structures

for Stage 1

Robin Ricard & Richard Button
Bloomberg

*Advisor: Daniel Ehrenberg
Igalia*

A proposal by example

```
const city = #{  
  city: "New York",  
  state: "NY",  
  country: "USA",  
};
```

```
const fib = #[1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8];
```

```
const cities = #[
  { city: "New York",
    state: "NY",
    country: "USA" },
  { city: "London",
    region: "Greater London",
    country: "UK" }
];
```

```
const nyOffice = #{  
  isHQ: true,  
  city: {  
    city: "New York",  
    state: "NY",  
    country: "USA" },  
};
```

```
const london = {  
  city: "London",  
  region: "Greater London",  
  country: "UK"  
};
```

```
const office = #{  
  isHQ: false,  
  city: london // TypeError  
};
```

```
const albany = #{ ...nyc, city: "Albany" };
```



```
let fib = #[1, 1];
for (let i = 0; i < 100; ++i) {
    fib = fib.push(fib[i] + fib[i+1]);
}
// fib = #[1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, ...];
```

```
let food = #["pasta", "tomato sauce",  
            "concrete"];  
  
const materials = #[food[2]];  
  
food = food.pop();  
  
// food = #["pasta", "tomato sauce"];  
// materials = #["concrete"];
```

```
let fib = #[0, 1, 2, 3, 0, 8];  
fib = fib.with(0, 1).with(4, 5);  
// fib = #[1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8];
```

```
assert(nyOffice === #{  
  isHQ: true,  
  city: {  
    city: "New York",  
    state: "NY",  
    country: "USA" },  
});
```

```
assert(nyOffice !== #{  
  isHQ: false,  
  city: {  
    city: "London",  
    region: "GreaterLondon",  
    country: "UK" },  
});
```

Motivations

Can it be done in userland?

- Several approaches exist in the community
 - Immutable - similar semantics, could be a transpilation target
 - Immer - more of a pattern, could be used with Records and Tuples
- These libraries are fantastic! But they have usability drawbacks

Internal implementation is “magical”, makes debugging more difficult.

```
export function createProfile(name, twitterHandle, githubHandle) {
  let profile = new Immutable.Map({
    name,
    twitterHandle,
  });

  if (githubHandle) {
    profile = profile.set('githubHandle', githubHandle);
  }

  return profile;
}
```

```
Map {size: 3, _root: ArrayMapNode, __ownerID: und...
  __altered: false
  __hash: undefined
  __ownerID: undefined
  _root: ArrayMapNode {ownerID: undefined, ...
  entries: Array(3) [Array(2), Array(2), A...
    length: 3
    > __proto__: Array(0) [, ...]
    > 0: Array(2) ["name", "Robin"]
    > 1: Array(2) ["twitterHandle", "r_ricard...
    > 2: Array(2) ["githubHandle", "rricard"]
    ownerID: undefined
    > __proto__: Object {get: , update: , iter...
    size: 3
    > __proto__: KeyedCollection {constructor:
```

Not adopted by the rest of the ecosystem (libraries) because they need to be handled as special cases.

Same issues within a large project.

```
const ProfileRecord = Immutable.Record({
  name: "Anonymous User",
  githubHandle: null,
});

function getGithubUrl(profile) {
  if (Immutable.Record.isRecord(profile)) {
    return `https://github.com/${
      profile.get("githubHandle")
    }`;
  }
  return `https://github.com/${
    profile.githubHandle
  }`;
}
```


Mixing immutables and non-immutables can be a foot-gun.

Leads to bugs in large codebases.

```
const user = { name: "Robin" };
```

```
const commit = CommitRecord({  
  hash: "5a8945",  
  user,  
});
```

```
Immutable.Record.isRecord(commit);  
// => true
```

```
Immutable.Record.isRecord(  
  commit.get("user"));  
// => false
```

Interoperability with the rest of the ecosystem requires lots of costly conversions to and from standard js objects.

```
const jobResult = Immutable.fromJS(  
  ExternalLib.processJob(  
    jobDescription.toJS()  
  )  
);
```

This can be mitigated by the proposal since we can use the same access idioms as objects

If it works with an object it will likely work with a record or tuple.

```
function getGithubUrl(profile) {
  if (Immutable.Record.isRecord(profile)) {
    return `https://github.com/${
      profile.get("githubHandle")
    }`;
  }
  return `https://github.com/${
    profile.githubHandle
  }`;
}
```

```
function getGithubUrl(profile) {
  return `https://github.com/${
    profile.githubHandle
  }`;
}
```

```
getGithubUrl(#{ githubHandle: "rricard" })
// => https://github.com/rricard

getGithubUrl({ githubHandle: "rickbutton" })
// => https://github.com/rickbutton
```

Using deeply frozen objects?

- You can write your own deep freezing, deep equality and deep clone!
- Immer actually results in cloned + deeply frozen objects

From Immer's docs: the frozen state stops out of simple objects and arrays.

```
const state = {
  set: new Set()
}
const nextState = produce(state, draft => {
  // Don't use any Set methods,
  // as that mutates the instance!
  draft.set.add("foo") // ❌

  // 1. Instead, clone the set (just once)
  const newSet = new Set(draft.set) // ✅
  // 2. Mutate the clone
  // (just in this producer)
  newSet.add("foo")
  // 3. Update the draft with the new set
  draft.set = newSet
})
```

It also prompts the question of what is deep equality and deep cloning in the general case.

In a large project or across library boundaries, the meaning of “deep” can change.

This is a possible source of bugs.

This feature defines equality semantics between value types and throws when an incomparable value is introduced in the structure.

```
const london = {  
  city: "London",  
  region: "Greater London",  
  country: "UK"  
};
```

```
const office = #{  
  isHQ: false,  
  city: london // TypeError  
};
```

Builds on existing value types

- string, number, ... have “special” semantics today compared to objects
- Records and Tuples are a generalization of those semantics
- We can imagine further proposals that expand in that domain

Interactions with the rest of the language

```
assert(#{ a: 1 } === #{ a: 1 });  
assert(#[1] === #[1]);  
assert(#{ a: -0 } !== #{ a: +0 });  
assert(#[-0] !== #[+0]);  
assert(#{ a: NaN } === #{ a: NaN });  
assert(#[NaN] === #[NaN]);
```

```
assert(#{ a: 1 } == #{ a: 1 });
```

```
assert(#[1] == #[1]);
```

```
assert(Object.is(#{ a: 1 }, #{ a: 1 }));
```

```
assert(Object.is(#[1], #[1]));
```

```
assert(new Map().set("#{a:1}", true).get("#{a:1}"));
assert(new Map().set("#[1]", true).get("#[1]"));
assert(new Set(["#{a:1}"]).has("#{a:1}"));
assert(new Set(["#[1]"]).has("#[1]"));
```

```
assert(Object#{a:1}) instanceof Record);  
assert(Object#[1]) instanceof Tuple);  
assert(typeof #{a:1} === "record");  
assert(typeof #[1] === "tuple");
```

Boxing objects prototypes

- `Record.prototype` and `Tuple.prototype` are neither `Record` or `Tuple` instances. They have `Object.prototype` as prototype.
- Value types follow `GetValue` semantics (implicit boxing + follow prototype)
- `Record.prototype` is empty
- `Tuple.prototype` \approx `Array.prototype` with a few changes
 - `.with()` added
 - `.shift()` / `.unshift()` / `.pop()` / `.push()` all return a new tuple

Iteration

- Records are not iterable
- Tuples are iterable
 - Any iterable consumer will be able to go through a Tuple's values
 - `for(const v of tuple)`
 - Additionally the Tuple boxing object has a non-writable, non-enumerable, non-configurable length property that reflects the number of elements in the tuple
 - `for(let i = 0; i < tuple.length; ++i)`

Discussion!

What does the committee think about immutable data structures in JavaScript?

What does the committee think about this proposal as a starting point for this space?